1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CSBN 44332) United States Attorney		
2	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CSBN 163173)		
3	Chief, Criminal Division		
4	SUSAN KNIGHT (CSBN 209013)		
5	Assistant United States Attorney		
6	150 Almaden Blvd., Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113		
7	Telephone: (408) 535-5036 FAX: (408) 535-5066		
8	jennifer.a.griffith@usdoj.gov		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10			
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
13			
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	No. CR 08-00041 RS
15	Plaintiff,		STIPULATION AND [xxxxxxxxx]xx
16	V.	į	ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
17	JOHN HENRY BRUNO,	(
18	Defendant.)	SAN JOSE VENUE
19	_		
20			
21	On May 15, 2008, the parties in the above-captioned case appeared before the Court for		
22	status hearing. Assistant Federal Public Defender Nicholas P. Humy explained to the Court the		
23	history of the case, including the defendant's personal circumstances that resulted in the issuance		
24	of a bench warrant. The warrant has since been vacated. The parties then jointly requested that		
25	change of plea hearing be scheduled before the Honorable Judge Seeborg on June 19, 2008 at		
26	9:30 a.m. Assistant United States Attorney Susan Knight requested an exclusion of time under		

28

the Speedy Trial Act from May 15, 2008 through June 19, 2008. The undersigned parties agree

and stipulate that an exclusion of time is appropriate based on the defendant's need for effective

Case 5:08-cr-00041-RS Document 16 Filed 05/16/2008 Page 2 of 2 preparation of counsel. 1 2 SO STIPULATED: JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO **United States Attorney** 3 4 DATED: 5/15/08 SUSAN KNIGHT Assistant United States Attorney 5 6 DATED: 5/15/08 NICHOLAS P. HUMY 7 Assistant Federal Public Defender 8 9 10 Accordingly, for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that time be excluded 11 under the Speedy Trial Act from May 15, 2008 to June 19, 2008. The Court finds, based on the 12 aforementioned reasons, that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The failure to grant 13 the requested continuance would deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective 14 preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage 15 of justice. The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 16 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv). 17 SO ORDERED. 18 19 ! Trumball DATED: May 16, 2008 20 PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge 21 22 23 24 25

Stipulation and [proposed] order No. 08-00041~RS

26

27

28